

**Canadian U.F.O.
Research Network**

P.O. Box 15, Station "A"
Willowdale, Ontario, Canada M2N 5S7
(416) 638-1781 ; 787-1935

BULLETIN

CO-DIRECTORS
Joseph Muskat
Larry Fenwick
Harry Tokarz

Crescat scientia vita excolatur (Where knowledge increases, life is ennobled)
Vol.7, No.1 January-February, 1986 \$3.00



This is one of a few unusual photographs of a UFO in which it appears to be three objects but is actually one. It was taken by an anonymous biochemist who has a PhD. The location was Diamond Peak Park near Willamette Pass, Oregon, U.S.A. It was taken on November 22, 1966 in black and white using an old camera with a 1/30 sec. exposure. He saw only one UFO. It appears to be about 30 feet in diameter, 7½ feet tall. The negatives and the camera were examined by Fred Adrian of CUFOS. The analysis by Adrian was published in Photographic Magazine in the U.S. and in the Journal of the Royal Photographic Society of Great Britain.

IN THIS ISSUE: UFOs and the Electronic Media; Pentagon vs. Bryant
Fund For UFO Research Quarterly Report; McKay to Speak
An "Exploding" NL in Toronto.

UFOs AND THE ELECTRONIC MEDIA

by Lawrence J. Fenwick

1986 began with one of the best sci-fi films, *The Day the Earth Stood Still*, being shown on WGRZ-TV, an NBC affiliate in Buffalo, New York, on January 3, at 12.30 a.m. (actually Saturday, the 4th). The way in which the two major Toronto newspapers covered it in their TV magazines was quite different in terms of their description of the humanoid in the story.

The Globe and Mail is known for its conservatism and its superior attitude toward the readers. It described the humanoid as a "morally superior being" and only rated the picture 3½ stars. The Toronto Star in contrast just called him a "visiting alien" and gave the film a deserved 4 star rating. This fits with its more liberal bent in general, as a newspaper.

The Star's political stance was mirrored in stating that

The CUFORN Bulletin is written, edited and published bi-monthly by Lawrence J. Fenwick, with occasional articles contributed. Subscription \$16.00 yearly in Canada. Other countries-\$19.00. © Copyright CUFORN, Inc., 1986. Reprinting in whole or in part requires permission of the Editor/Publisher. Credit must include the words "Copyright 1986 by the CUFORN Bulletin, P.O.Box 15, Station "A", Willowdale, Ontario, Canada M2N 5S7."

the humanoid had come to say that "nations should learn to co-exist peacefully."

The Globe merely referred to "warnings about nuclear technology". This also fits in with the paper's bias towards technology itself rather than with people per se. It called the movie "sci-fi", whereas the Star denoted it as "science".

The Globe calls the film "well-made"; the Star does not venture an opinion in words. Neither description mentions the subtle anti-fascist tone of the film.

A showing on CFTO-TV, the Canadian Television Network (CTV) outlet in Toronto on January 4 of the movie made for television *The Mysterious Two* was not given detailed description by the Globe. The Star did so. The film was shown at 12.15 a.m. (the 5th) and made no reference to the people on whom the film's story was based, "Bo" and "Peep" who were a pair of con artists out for monetary gain and publicity and who toured North America speaking to the gullible and curious general public. The Star rated it at 2 stars, which is equivalent to a poor to fair label.

Two independent television stations in the Southern Ontario-Western New York State

area showed Endangered Species, a motion picture about the odd cattle mutilations reported in North America in recent decades.

Although the newspapers do not know that the "mutes", as they are known to researchers, involve secret experiments, the independent TV stations seem to manage to show this movie fairly often compared to other films about strange events. Whether the TV station managements have been told a little more than the newspapers is a disputable point. Possibly they feel that there is more to the "mute" situation than meets the eye.

The two TV stations are Channel 57, CITY-TV, in Toronto and Channel 29, WUTV, in Buffalo, New York.

MOVIE REPEATED A MONTH LATER

The showings were on the American station first, on January 9 at 8 p.m. (PRIME TIME) and on CITY-TV on Feb. 5, at 11.30 p.m. One channel repeated the movie later in the evening, CITY-TV. It had it on at 3.25 a.m. (the 6th) as if it should be seen by as many people as possible. Movies are normally repeated on the same day only by the Pay-TV channels. This seems most unusual.

Another curious aspect was that Channel 57, CITY-TV, said it was 2 hours and 10 minutes long at the 11.30 p.m. viewing time and only 2 hours and 5 minutes long at 3.25 a.m. What did they excise from the movie? Or was it merely that the Toronto Star made a typographical error?

The Star said the movie was about a "chain of grotesque cattle mutilations in Colorado." The Globe failed to mention Colorado and gave a description of the film which was most uncharacteristic of the paper's usual conservatism. It said "A bizarre plague of cattle mutilations leads to some horrifying discoveries." It went on to say that the movie was an "intriguing thriller".

The Globe called Endangered Species, "sci-fi", while the Star said it was a suspense film.

Neither newspaper mentioned that the leading character in the movie was a journalist. The Globe did not even mention that there was anyone of a respected profession amongst the roles played, whereas the Star did state that two of the three main roles were that of a female sheriff and a retired New York policeman.

The WUTV presentation on January 9 was 2 hours long, according to the Star. The Globe does not give movie length in its TV magazine listings. Of course, you will note that we now have three different lengths for the motion picture.

On January 23, WUTV repeated the movie, this time at 1 in the afternoon, so the housewives and shut-ins could see it. It seems that they want everyone to see this film.

Buffalo's WGRZ-TV had The Disappearance of Flight 412 on Friday, January 16 at 3.45 a.m. The Kingston, Ontario TV station showed it on February 4 at 11.30 p.m. Globe coverage mentioned UFOs; The Star did not do so.

CAUS Washington ReportDecember 1985

Published by Citizens Against UFO Secrecy (CAUS)
 (Washington, D.C. Office:
 3518 Martha Custis Drive
 Alexandria, VA 22302 - U.S.A.
 Telephone: (703) 931-3341)

QUOTE OF THE QUARTER: "The Govern-
 ment prevents /its/ employees from
 discussing UFO sightings except to
 the 'proper' authorities." --
 Journalist Mort Young, in his
 1967 book UFO: Top Secret

Pentagon Retaliates Against Army Employee's Pursuit of Crashed-Saucer Records . . .

. . . No, the above headline isn't quoted from USA Today, but it, or a variation thereof, might soon be gracing the pages of that and other newspapers.

Yes, after two years of fretting over how best to deal with UFOlogical gadfly Larry W. Bryant, his employer, the Office of the Army Chief of Public Affairs (where Bryant since January 1981 has been serving as Associate Editor of the Army News Service), finally has decided on the customary method: "the Fitzgerald treatment" (referring, of course, to renowned Air Force cost-overrun whistleblower A. Ernest Fitzgerald). The treatment goes like this:

Follow the letter of the employee's written performance standards (a set of employee-supervisor-agreed-upon factors showing the quality and quantity of work performance expected of the employee throughout the year-long supervisory rating period). Start seizing on any detectable weakness -- such as minor errors of omission/commission, documenting the circumstances. In that "building a case" process, start orally chipping away at the employee's sense of self-worth and professional competence; assign him an inordinate number of senseless or mundane projects, and subject his resulting work product to several hypercritical reviewers, who are preselected to deliver the planned verdict on the work's acceptability. Use this eventual supervisory record of out-of-context events, innuendo, unsubstantiated charges, and half-truths toward entering a formal rating of "unsatisfactory" at the end of the rating period. Continually hold this threat of imminent demotion or dismissal over the employee's head, lowering or raising it as the supervisor deems necessary. Use any evidence of the employee's predictable low morale to show he's no longer a team player, and hence is adversely affecting the overall productivity of his work section.

In time the hapless, "Fitzgeralded" victim of reprisal has a choice between two actions: flight or fight.

Bryant, after a near-flight back in July 1985, now has chosen to stand his ground and to fight this gross abuse of authority.

On Nov. 14, 1985, he filed a petition with the Special Counsel's office of the U. S. Merit Systems Protection Board in Washington, D.C. That Board, of course, has the charter and power to put a stop to Federal-agency reprisals against so-called whistleblowers.

Does Bryant consider himself a whistleblower? Well, indirectly, yes -- considering his recent efforts in placing advertisements in various military post/base newspapers to solicit the testimony of whistleblowers in the Cosmic Watergate -- such as the one below, published (after some urging) in the Fort Dix, N.J., Post on March 8, 1985:

Bryant's submission of that ad simply was too much for officialdom -- starting with Dix's Public Affairs Officer, Lt. Col. Norman K. Otis, who publishes the weekly Post, and who chose to track down Bryant's employer. (Note: thus far, the base newspaper at McGuire AFB, N.J., has refused to print a similar ad, aimed at the USAF readership.)

**BLOW THE WHISTLE ON THE
DIX - MCGUIRE UFO CONNECTION**

Where is he now? -- Army "Sgt. Anon." the military policeman who, back in 1978, pursued (and shot dead) a disembarked occupant of a nighttime UFO seen maneuvering near Fort Dix and McGuire AFB. Where are the autopsy report and other records of this incident? If you, or someone you know, can furnish us answers to those questions, contact us immediately so that we can use your evidence/testimony in compelling the Government's full accountability under the U.S. Freedom of Information Act.

**Write: CAUS 3518 Martha Custis Dr.,
Alexandria, Va 22302.**

By questioning, through Army command channels, whether Bryant was trying to use his official capacity to compel the ad's publication, Otis succeeded in inspiring the wrath of one Col. Douglas H. Rogers, who heads the OCPA Command Information Division at the Pentagon.

Rogers used the Otis insinuation as a lever of intimidation, suggesting to Bryant that it might be prudent to construct some name other than Larry W. Bryant in any further ad submissions.

Only slightly daunted by that confrontation, Bryant proceeded like a wounded bull toward this red flag now being waved before him. His next charge proved crippling. It was the following advertisement, sent on March 20, 1985, to the Fort Rucker, Ala., post newspaper, the Flier:

\$1,000 OFFER FOR SPECIAL UFO EVIDENCE

For use in the UFO-related-injury damage suit of Cash-Landrum Vs. United States, we're prepared to pay \$1,000 for your verifiable evidence/testimony leading to the identity and testimony of the organization(s) and aviators associated with the score or so tandem-rotor helicopters seen maneuvering around the huge, radiant UFO on the night of Dec. 29, 1980, near Dayton, Texas. Contact us immediately to help end the government coverup and stonewalling on its role in that incident. All evidence submitted will be evaluated by a forensic panel of UFO researchers, after which you'll be notified if you qualify for the \$1,000. Write: CAUS, 3518 Martha Custis Dr., Alexandria, VA 22302.

When the Flier's printer returned Bryant's prepayment check with no explanation, Bryant wrote a follow-up letter to Rucker's Public Affairs Officer, seeking an explanation for the ad's rejection. He waited for more than two months for a reply. Receiving none, he wrote a complaint letter to Rucker's Inspector General. That letter produced this response of June 18, 1985, from the Public Affairs Officer, Lt. Col. Lawrence R. Retta:

"I stopped the ad from being placed in the Flier because it implies legal action is ongoing. Your ad refers to the injury damage suit of Cash-Landrum Vs. United States. The post Staff Judge Advocate's Office agrees with my decision. If litigation has been completed I will allow the ad to be published."

It was about this time that one of Bryant's superiors -- Print Media Branch chief Maj. Mark A. Brzozowski -- called him in for a performance-counseling session. Out of that came the major's formal warning letter setting forth Bryant's alleged shortcomings and threatening to lead to an end-of-year performance rating of "unsatisfactory." (Bryant's previous three annual ratings were "exceptional." Throughout the current rating period, Bryant's immediate supervisor, ARNEWS chief Capt. Thomas G. Surface, has chosen to give Bryant high marks on the routine "Supervisory Rating Sheet" that accompanied a number of application forms for job vacancies of interest to Bryant.)

WHAT PRICE DISSENT?

"How," asks Bryant in his petition to the Merit Systems Protection Board, "can an exceptionally rated employee suddenly zoom to persona non grata in the space of several months? What terrible, unpardonable sin have I committed that would warrant such official wrath as I've continued to experience in ever-escalating increments? The answer: nothing, except my insistence on exercising my First Amendment rights."

Feeling that it was time to retreat to the brier patch, Bryant, in early July, met with Rogers to announce the decision to drop the ad campaign and to withdraw from participation in the planned CAUS FOIA lawsuit for compelling the public release of the government's crashed-saucer records.

For the next several months, there seemed to be in the office an atmosphere of mutual relief that Bryant finally was coming to his senses and returning to the UFOlogical closet. Brzowski had moved on to a new job, and Surface was expected to do the same in November -- but not, as it turns out, before his completing the hatchet job on Bryant. By his counseling letter to Bryant on Nov. 6, Surface has dutifully carried out Rogers' final solution to the Bryant Problem. With that quantum leap in the escalating harassment, Bryant had no choice but to "go public" -- and the rest will make legal and UFOlogical history.

In his petition's concluding remarks, Bryant noted:

"I of course don't relish being the 'A. Ernest Fitzgerald of the Army,' or the 'Karen Silkwood of the Pentagon' (an appellation I've inferred from Rogers' first confrontation with me back in 1983, when he reminded me of the mysterious demise of two C.I.A. agents) -- or the 'Sakharov of the United States.' Indeed, I wish to regard myself as neither hero nor martyr, but merely as a citizen convinced that this gross abuse of authority must be dealt with swiftly and decisively -- even if the resolution process cause me further distraction and discomfort. And I hope that from this petition you can readily comprehend the kind of mentality I've been up against. It goes like this: In government, there's only one thing worse than a whistleblower, and that's a person who, like Larry W. Bryant, insists on soliciting the testimony of whistleblowers."

RESUMING THE UFO (NEWS) PAPER CHASE

Now that the confrontation has turned from softball to hardball, what will Bryant be doing while his case makes the rounds toward eventual resolution? "For one thing," he said, "I'm renewing my effort to compel the Public Affairs Officer at Peterson AFB, Colo., to run my ad that he arbitrarily rejected several months ago. This ad, incidentally, already has been printed in the base newspaper at Bolling AFB, Washington, D.C." The ad happens to be based on the continuing research of Cincinnati resident Leonard H. Stringfield, whose published monograph on UFO crash-retrieval case histories serves as a basis for most of the ads Bryant has been creating.

When the Peterson officials ignored Bryant's appeal to withdraw their rejection, he proceeded to use the U. S. Freedom of Information Act to ferret whatever documentation might shed further light on this act of censorship. Once again, he was stymied -- this time by Peterson's assertion that to release the six records showing how the officials arrived at their censorship decision would reveal the Air Force's "predecisional deliberative process." Bryant, of course, filed an appeal of that denial, to no avail. Then he requested that the withheld records be released under terms of the U. S. Privacy Act, on the basis of his belief that "these records affect the status of my privacy as regards the identity of my employer" (referring to Peterson's Inspector General's earlier admission that he knew Bryant was an Army employee). That request now denied, and the

denial sustained on appeal, Bryant intends to file suit in Federal District Court to overturn the denial -- especially since he feels the requested records might have a direct bearing on the Army's conduct toward Bryant's UFO-research activities.

Here's how the Peterson/Bolling ad reads:

BLOW THE WHISTLE ON MILITARY MEDICINE'S UFO COVERU

If you were one of "Dr. Anon.'s" colleagues/assistants during his autopsy of a crewmember retrieved from a crash-landed "flying saucer" some 30 years ago, we need your corroborative testimony to add to the evidence we've gathered thus far in our FOIA quest for the records of that autopsy. If you can lead us to the current whereabouts of the relevant photos, medical drawings, and clinical reports, we'll be able to file suit to compel their immediate, full release. The public has a right to know about these humanoid visitors, and the Government has the duty to tell. Now, at last, you can do your part to make that principle work. Write: CAUS

Some of Bryant's other unfinished business includes recent events at Headquarters, U. S. Army Military District of Washington, whose Public Affairs Office publishes the Pentagon's weekly Army newspaper, the Pentagram. In the past, whenever the Bolling Beam newspaper ran any of Bryant's UFO ads, they also automatically appeared that week in the Pentagram and the nearby Walter Reed Army Medical Center's paper (the Stripe) -- all three printed by the same commercial firm in Alexandria. But when Rogers learned of this practice (from a conversation with Bryant), he apparently instructed the MDW/Reed officials to cease running the ads -- since the latest two that subsequently ran in the Beam failed to appear in the Pentagram/Stripe. Realizing the intervention, Bryant, when he submitted the following ad in June (only to withdraw it, under pressure, in July), reminded the papers' printer of his obligation to run it in all three papers. The printing firm's ad saleswoman told Bryant that from now on all his proposed ads had to be screened for acceptability by both the Air Force and Army Public Affairs officials concerned:

BREAKTHROUGH ON THE DIX-MCGUIRE UFO CONNECTION


Thanks to the conscience pangs of one of the principals involved, we now have a document confirming some of the events surrounding the slaying of a UFO occupant back in Jan. 1978 near Fort Dix/McGuire AFB, N.J. As we seek additional evidence on the incident -- such as the autopsy report and all intelligence evaluations -- we invite the testimony of other whistleblowers so we can compel a full Congressional inquiry. The public has a right to know all the details of this and of other hard-core UFO cases -- and the government has the duty to tell. Write: CAUS

WHAT YOU CAN DO

If you're as angry as we are over this blatant effort to muzzle Bryant (and, indirectly, CAUS), we suggest you so notify your congressmen/senators. Send them a copy of this report, and ask them to have the appropriate Congressional committees look immediately into the matter and furnish you a full report of their findings.

Editor's Note: In a March 7, 1986 phone conversation with Larry Bryant, he said his rating's fight has been lost, but he is trying to enlist the services of several organizations in a lawsuit concerning this action. One of these is the American Civil Liberties Union. He expects a long court battle in this matter. We wish him success in his suit.

*** The CAUS Washington Report has been reprinted courtesy of Larry Bryant.



The observer in the "exploding" NL case reported on the next page was a senior art director at the time of the report. The map on this page was drawn by him and as such is of a higher quality than maps drawn by most observers.

AN "EXPLODING" NL IN TORONTO

Thirty-five year-old Mr. F.A. of Toronto, Ontario, Canada and a friend who did not want to fill out a sighting report form were sitting in the main observer's bedroom in his 17th floor apartment at 10.20 p.m. on September 2, 1978. They were trying to operate a new camera and his friend was reading the instructions for the camera.

F.A.'s friend looked up and screamed, "F, look at that light!" F. continued in his written description of the event. "We ran to the window. It looked like a plane on fire. We were frightened. We grabbed the apartment keys to run as it appeared to be coming towards our 17th floor apartment. The building is 29 stories high."

"It seemed to hover, then turned slightly east. We ran to our neighbors to phone the police. - We returned to our apartment. My friend went out onto the balcony. The object was now going east. Actually slightly north-east. As we watched, it exploded."

"We told the police what had happened. Then we phoned CHUM, the AM station we first thought of as it was situated behind us on Yonge Street. We spent two hours trying to either convince someone we were both not crazy and/or we really did see something."

OUR ERROR

In our November-December, 1985 issue, p.12, the cause should have been: NASA rocketborne chemical experiment launched from Goddard Space Flight Center Wallops Flight Facility in Virginia, U.S.A.

"When the object exploded, it seemed to fall in very bright pieces to the ground. At this point, because it was all so clear to my friend and I and was so dramatic, we were absolutely beside ourselves with excitement."

SIGHTING BACKGROUND

F.A. has a B.A. in Arts, with excellent vision and hearing. The sighting duration was 4 to 5 minutes in a residential-business section of Toronto.

The weather was clear with some low clouds. A few stars were visible, but the moon was not seen. The night was warm and humid.

OBJECT DESCRIPTION

The light was disc-shaped with fuzzy edges. The silent object was yellowish-white and was brighter at the edges. It had a smooth, shimmering surface. It seemed to be 1-2,000 feet above the high-rise buildings on Bloor Street. It seemed to be hover and when it moved, did so slowly. When compared to an object held at arm's length, the observers said it was the size of a 747 airliner. The observation was made with the unaided eye and with high-powered binoculars.

The observers also reported it to Toronto International Airport's Control tower and to the Canadian Forces Air Force Base (CFB Downsview).

UFO'S AND YOUR FUTURE

NOTED
CANADIAN
RESEARCH
CONSULTANT

Principal Speaker:

HENRY H. McKAY

Sat. Mar. 22, 1986

METRO REFERENCE LIBRARY

789 YONGE STREET TORONTO

Just north of Bloor St. Subway

\$ 4 Session A PROMPT 2-4 PM Room "D"

REPEAT PROGRAM

\$ 4 Session B PROMPT 4-6 PM Room "D"

INFO. 491-5946

Did you miss the 1982 Toronto UFO Symposium?
For more information on the forthcoming Michigan

17th. MUTUAL UFO SYMPOSIUM

Write to; Mutual UFO Network, 103 Oldtowne Road, Seguin, Texas. 78155. USA

Fund for **UFO** Research Inc.

Washington, D.C.

P. O. Box 277

Mount Rainier, Maryland

20712

NATIONAL BOARD OF DIRECTORS
QUARTERLY REPORT
 July - September 1985

Eugenie Clark, Ph.D.
 Zoology, Univ. of Maryland

Thomas P. Deuley
 Elec. Engineer, Wash., D.C.

Barry H. Downing, Ph.D.
 Theology and Science
 Endwell, N.Y.

Robert L. Hall, Ph.D.
 Sociologist
 Univ. of Ill. - Chicago

Richard F. Haines, Ph.D.
 Psychology, NASA, Ames

Richard C. Henry, Ph.D.
 Astrophysics, Johns Hopkins

Mr. Lou Purnell
 Asst. Curator for Spacecraft
 Nat. Air & Space Museum

Peter Rank, M.D.
 Radiology, Univ. of Wis.

Herbert E. Roth
 Pilot Trainer
 United Air Lines, Denver

Ron Westrum, Ph.D.
 Sociology, E. Mich. Univ.

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Chairman
 Bruce S. Maccabee, Ph.D.
 Physics, Wash, D.C.

Vice-Chairman
 Mr. Craig Phillips
 Dir. National Aquarium

John B. Carlson, Ph.D.
 Astronomy, Univ. of Md.

David W. Schwartzman, Ph.D.
 Geology, Howard Univ.

During this quarter, the FUND participated in National UFO Information Week (NUFOIW), and representatives of the FUND attended the national MUFON meeting and the Massachusetts MUFON meeting.

The FUND had two activities for NUFOIW: a national publicity campaign to raise funds and to advertise UFO Week, and a local campaign of public education which included donations of U.S. Government documents on the UFO subject to local libraries.

The national campaign involved sending news releases to 300 media outlets (newspapers, radio and television stations). As a result, representatives of the FUND conducted interviews with a number of radio stations across the country, including WGY, Schenectady, NY; WWDC, Washington, DC; KPRC, Houston, TX; and WBAL, Baltimore, MD. Dr. Bruce Maccabee, Chairman of the FUND, was invited to talk to the Frederick, Maryland Kiwanis Club.

The public education effort involved sending letters to 10 local libraries, including the Library of Congress, offering a speaker for NUFOIW and also a free three-volume set of UFO government documents. The Library Set includes the three volumes which the FUND has been selling, plus the "Document Companion" (a 28-page paper by Dr. Maccabee that discusses individual documents), plus the formerly Top Secret Air Intelligence Report ("Ghost of the Estimate") that was released last March. The FUND was honored to learn that the Library of Congress wanted a copy, and it received the first donated set. Other local libraries have received sets as well. The Library Set, which is handsomely bound in a three-ring notebook, is available for \$125.00.

The FUND was represented at the Massachusetts MUFON meeting by Dr. Maccabee, Fred Whiting, Larry Bryant and Phil Reynolds. The two-day meeting was well attended, thanks to the efforts of Marge Christensen and others in Mass. MUFON. The FUND had a section of a table and sold documents and research papers. Dr. Maccabee was interviewed on a local Boston station; he also delivered two impromptu talks at the meeting. One was an "after dinner" talk on recent circular depressions, or "saucer nests," found in England and a series of color slide photographs of unusual lights in Connecticut in 1981. The other talk, at the very end of the meeting, was on the activities of the FUND.

QUARTERLY REPORT
Page Two

At Marge Christensen's request, a collection was taken up for the FUND. The amount of contributions collected, plus revenue from the sales of documents, netted over \$500 for the FUND. For this, our sincere thanks to the members of Mass. MUFON.

During this quarter, the COMPUFON network has really come "on line." If you are interested in "UFO networking," call (206) 788-5307 and talk to Michael Hart. Tell him the FUND sent you.

Also during this quarter, the Executive Committee approved a British proposal for a study of British "phantom airships" of 1913. This proposal will be sent to the National Board for final approval. The Executive Committee also approved \$1,000 for William Moore (author of The Roswell Incident), to be paid upon receipt of newly-released documents and his historical analysis of them.

An interesting note: FATE magazine (October 1985) carries an excellent (since it is favorable, how could it be anything but excellent?) review of Dr. Maccabee's paper, "Revised UFO History." The paper was originally given at the "Crashed Saucer" meeting in Washington in November 1983. The paper approaches UFO history from the point of view that the Air Force got the "hardware" in July 1947 (the "Roswell Incident"). Also mentioned in the FATE review are the government documents and the results of the psychological study of abductees, both available through the FUND (see enclosed order form). May we suggest that you buy a copy and see what FATE has in store for you?

TREASURER'S REPORT
Third Quarter--1985

Total Assets

<u>End of 2nd Q 1985</u>	<u>End of 3rd Q 1985</u>
Checking Account.....\$1,839.04.....	\$2,779.01
Undeposited..... 50.00.....	166.00
Savings & Loan..... 1,243.15.....	1,265.87
Dreyfus Account..... <u>1,287.85</u>	<u>1,162.57</u>
TOTAL	\$5,373.45 (+1,085.60)

Expenditures

Mailing services.....\$	636.10
Printing.....	170.00
Supplies.....	92.23
Postage.....	63.70
Other (Box rent, mail list).....	<u>83.00</u>
TOTAL	\$1,045.03

Income

Sales.....	\$1,047.00
Donations (*).....	1,039.00
Dreyfus (Apprec.)..	21.91
S&L (Interest).....	<u>22.72</u>
TOTAL	\$2,130.63

NOTE: (*) includes \$180 for Rapid Deployment Fund and \$50 for Dix-McGuire Project (additional contributions have been received for both in the 4th Quarter).

All contributors to these special funds will receive an "I Support UFO Research" button or bumper sticker--see attached order form for details!